## EMPLOYMENT APPEALS TRIBUNAL

CLAIM OF:
CASE NO.
MN144/2009

## EMPLOYEE - Claimant

against

## EMPLOYER -Respondent

under
MINIMUM NOTICE AND TERMS OF EMPLOYMENT ACTS, 1973 TO 2005
I certify that the Tribunal
(Division of Tribunal)
Chairman: Mr J Flanagan BL
Members: Mr G McAuliffe
Ms E Brezina
heard this claim at Mullingar on $8^{\text {th }}$ September and $27^{\text {th }}$ October 2009

## Representation:

Claimant:
In person on the first day of hearing only
Respondent:
Mr Pat Caulfield, Kelly Caulfield Shaw Solicitors, 1 Chapterhouse, Friars Mill Road, Mullingar, Co. Westmeath on the second day of hearing only

The decision of the Tribunal was as follows:

## Determination

The claimant was employed as a tyre-fitter from $15^{\text {th }}$ July 2008. He was dismissed on $28^{\text {th }}$ November 2008. On the first day of hearing the claimant acknowledged that he had received a cheque for $€ 400-00$ from the respondent. The claimant's case was that he had also been owed monies for outstanding holidays and unpaid wages and that the respondent had made unauthoriseddeductions from these amounts as an offset in respect of monies the respondent had allegedly lentto the claimant. The respondent was unable to attend the Tribunal on the first day of hearing due toa serious family emergency and the claimant acknowledged the reality of this difficulty.

On the second day the claimant failed to appear and when contacted by the secretary to the Tribunal by telephone he stated that he had forgotten about the hearing and that he would not be attending. The Tribunal was satisfied that the claimant was properly on notice of the hearing and that the claimant had accepted a cheque from the respondent in the amount claimed for minimum notice and therefore the Tribunal holds that the claim under the Minimum Notice Acts, 1973 to 2005 fails.

Sealed with the Seal of the
Employment Appeals Tribunal
This
(Sgd.)
(CHAIRMAN)

